

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/058,600	KIM ET AL.
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Jessica L. Rossi	1733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jessica L. Rossi.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Sheth.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 January 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Applicants to inform them that further species election needed to be made within Group II, which was elected by Applicants in response to the first restriction requirement. Failure to include the species restriction within the first restriction requirement was an oversight on the examiner's part. Applicants requested further restriction be mailed out.